arXiv:1606.03563v2 [math.GT] 14 Jun 2016

ON GROUPS G_n^k, BRAIDS AND BRUNNIAN BRAIDS

S.KIM AND V.O.MANTUROV

Abstract. In [7] the second author defined the k-free braid group with n strands G_n^k. These groups appear naturally as groups describing dynamical systems of n particles in some "general position". Moreover, in [10] the second author and I.M.Nikonov showed that G_n^k is closely related classical braids. The authors showed that there are homomorphisms from the pure braids group on n strands to G_n^3 and G_n^4 and they defined homomorphisms from G_n^k to the free product of $\mathbb{Z}_2$. That is, there are invariants for pure free braids by G_n^3 and G_n^4.

On the other hand in [6] D.A.Fedoseev and the second author studied classical braids with addition structures: parity and points on each strands. The authors showed that the parity, which is an abstract structure, has geometric meaning – points on strands. In [4], the first author studied G_n^2 with parity and points. the author construct a homomorphism from G_{n+1}^2 to the group G_n^2 with parity.

In the present paper, we investigate the groups G_n^3 and extract new powerful invariants of classical braids from G_n^3. In particular, these invariants allow one to distinguish the non-triviality of Brunnian braids.

1. Introduction

In [7], the groups G_n^k depending on two parameters $k, n \in \mathbb{N}, n > k$, were defined. The second author proved that many dynamical systems have topological invariants valued in G_n^k. It follows that there are homomorphisms from the n strand pure braid group to G_n^3 and G_n^4 in [10]. The groups G_n^k are quite powerful by themselves; on the other hand, they admit various homomorphisms to free products of cyclic groups; this allows one to extract various easy-to-calculate invariants of classical braids valued in free groups (in [10] this approach was used to estimate the unknotting number for braids).

On the other hands, since the discovery of the parity by the second named author [8], many invariants for classical links are extended to the case of virtual links. In [6] D.A.Fedoseev and the second author applied the parity to the case of n strand classical braids group. They showed that the parity for braids has a geometrical meaning – the number of dots on strands. In [4], the first author analogously enhanced those two structures to G_n^2. The author constructed homomorphism from G_{n+1}^2 to G_n^2 with parity.

Brunnian braids are those n-strand braids which become trivial after removal of each strand. Such braids are closely related to various problems in geometry and topology, cryptography, etc, in particular, to the homotopy groups of spheres [1], [3], [2]. The easy-to-calculate invariants from [10] G_n^3 valued in the free product of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ fail to recognize Brunnian braids(Lemma 4.5) when the number of strands is large.

The aim of the present paper is to construct more powerful invariants of braids (obtained by using homomorphisms from G_n^3 to other free products of cyclic groups and parity) and apply them to the recognize Brunnian braids.

In section 2, we remind basic definitions and propositions. In section 3, we show that the image of a Brunnian braid in PB_n by the homomorphism from PB_n to G_n^3 defined in [10] is also Brunnian in G_n^3 (Theorem 3.4). In section 4 we show that the value of the invariant in [10] from G_n^3 for Brunnian braids is trivial and construct new invariant of classical braids from G_n^3 and $G_{n,p}^2$, which is a group obtained from G_n^2 by adding parity. And we show the example 4.13, in which our new invariant distinguish the non-triviality of Brunnian braids. In section 5, we construct invariants from $G_{n,p}^3$, which is a group obtained from G_n^3 by adding parity.

2. Basic definitions

Definition 2.1. [9] *Let G_n^2 be the group given by the presentation generated by $\{a_{ij} \mid \{i,j\} \subset \{1,\dots,n\}, i<j\}$ subject to the following relations:*

1. $a_{ij}^2 = 1$ *for all* $i \neq j$,
2. $a_{ij}a_{kl} = a_{kl}a_{ij}$ *for distinct* i,j,k,l,
3. $a_{ij}a_{ik}a_{jk} = a_{jk}a_{ik}a_{ij}$ *for distinct* i,j,k.

The group G_n^2 is also known as the pure free braid group on n strands, see [7]. Usually, free braids are depicted by free braid diagrams as follows.

Definition 2.2. *A* free braid diagram *is a graph, which is immersed in the rectangle $\mathbb{R}\times[0,1]$ with the following properties:*

1. *The graph vertices of valency* 1 *are the points* $[i,0]$ *and* $[i,1]$, $i=1,\cdots,n$.
2. *All other vertices are* 4*-valent vertices.*
3. *For each* 4*-valent vertex, edges are split into two pairs, two edges in which are called* opposite edeges. *The opposite edges in such vertices are at the angle of* π.
4. *The braid strands monotonously go down.*

A braid strand *is an equivalence class of edges; two edges are called* equivalent *if there is a sequence of edges such that two consecutive edges in the sequence are opposite.*

For a free braid diagram, if $[i,0]$ *and* $[i,1]$ *belong to the same strand for every* $i\in\{1,\cdots,n\}$, *then the diagram is called* a pure free braid diagram.

In the group G_n^2, a_{ij} corresponds to a crossing between i-th and j-th strands, see Fig. 1. It is easy to see that the relations of G_n^2 correspond to Artin moves in Fig. 2.

Definition 2.3. A free braid on n strand *is an equivalence class of free diagrams of n-strand braid under Artin moves for free braids in Fig. 2.*

Definition 2.4. [9] *Let G_n^3 be the group given by the presentation generated by $\{a_{\{ijk\}} \mid \{i,j,k\} \subset \{1,\dots,n\}, |\{i,j,k\}|=3\}$ subject to the following relations:*

1. $a_{\{ijk\}}^2 = 1$ *for all* $\{i,j,k\} \subset \{1,\cdots,n\}$,
2. $a_{\{ijk\}}a_{\{stu\}} = a_{\{stu\}}a_{\{ijk\}}$, *if* $|\{i,j,k\}\cap\{s,t,u\}|<2$.
3. $a_{\{ijk\}}a_{\{ijl\}}a_{\{ikl\}}a_{\{jkl\}} = a_{\{jkl\}}a_{\{ikl\}}a_{\{ijl\}}a_{\{ijk\}}$ *for distinct* i,j,k,l.

Simply, we denote $a_{\{ijk\}} = a_{ijk}$.



FIGURE 1. A crossing corresponding to a_{ij}



FIGURE 2. Artin moves for free braids

There is a homomorphism $PB_n \to G_n^3$, which is constructed in [10]. In the next section, we shall enhance this homomorphism by adding new structures (parity, dots) to the group G_n^k. Geometrically it originates from homomorphisms $PB_n \to PB_{n+1}$ such that each homomorphism adds a solitary strand.

Definition 2.5. [4] *For a positive integer n, let us define $G_{n,p}^2$ as the group presentation generated by $\{a_{ij}^\epsilon \mid \{i,j\} \subset \{1,\dots,n\}, i<j,\ \epsilon \in \{0,1\}\}$ subject to the following relations:*

1. $(a_{ij}^\epsilon)^2 = 1$, $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$ *and* $i,j \in \{1,\cdots,n\}$,
2. $a_{ij}^{\epsilon_{ij}} a_{kl}^{\epsilon_{kl}} = a_{kl}^{\epsilon_{kl}} a_{ij}^{\epsilon_{ij}}$ *for* $1 \le i < j < k < l \le n$,
3. $a_{ij}^{\epsilon_{ij}} a_{ik}^{\epsilon_{ik}} a_{jk}^{\epsilon_{jk}} = a_{jk}^{\epsilon_{jk}} a_{ik}^{\epsilon_{ik}} a_{ij}^{\epsilon_{ij}}$, *for* $1 \le i < j < k \le n$, *where* $\epsilon_{ij} + \epsilon_{ik} + \epsilon_{jk} \equiv 0$ *mod* 2.

3. Brunnian braids in PB_n and in G_n^3

In classical braid groups, a special role is played by *Brunnian braids on n strands*. A Brunnian braid is a braid such that each braid obtained by omitting one strand is trivial for every strand. We can define Brunnian elements in groups G_n^k and we will see that the image of a Brunnian braid is Brunnian in G_n^3 by a homomorphism from PB_n to G_n^3 in [10]. Let us define a mapping $p_m : PB_{n+1} \to PB_n$ by

$$p_m(b_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = m, \\ b_{ij} & \text{if } i, j < m, \\ b_{i(j-1)} & \text{if } i < m, j > m, \\ b_{(i-1)(j-1)} & \text{if } i, j > m, \end{cases}$$

Roughly speaking, this mapping deletes one strand from braids on n strands.



FIGURE 3. Diagrams of $b_{12}b_{13}b_{12}^{-1}b_{13}^{-1}$ and $p(b_{12}b_{13}b_{12}^{-1}b_{13}^{-1}) = b_{12}b_{12}^{-1}$

Definition 3.1. An n-strand Brunnian braid *is a braid on n strands such that $p_m(\beta) = 1$ for each index m.*

Note that every element in PB_n of the form $[\cdots[[b_{i_1j_1}, b_{i_2j_2}], b_{i_3j_3}], \cdots], b_{i_mj_m}]$ is Brunnian, for example, Fig. 3.

Let us define a mapping $q_m : G_{n+1}^3 \to G_n^3$ by

$$q_m(a_{ijk}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = m \\ a_{ijk} & \text{if } i, j, k < m, \\ a_{ij(k-1)} & \text{if } i, j < m, k > m, \\ a_{i(j-1)(k-1)} & \text{if } i < m, j, k > m, \\ a_{(i-1)(j-1)(k-1)} & \text{if } i, j, k > m, \end{cases}$$

Definition 3.2. *An element β from G_{n+1}^3 is called* Brunnian *if $q_m(\beta) = 1$ for each index m.*

In [10] the authors constructed homomorphisms from PB_n to G_n^3 and to G_n^4. We recall the mapping ϕ_n from PB_n to G_n^3. Let

$$c_{i,j}^n = \prod_{k=j+1}^{n} a_{ijk} \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{ijk} \in G_n^3.$$

Let us define $\phi_n : PB_n \to G_n^3$ by

$$\phi_n(b_{ij}) = (c_{i,i+1}^n)^{-1}(c_{i,i+2}^n)^{-1} \cdots (c_{i,j-1}^n)^{-1}(c_{i,j}^n)^2 c_{i,j-1}^n \cdots c_{i,i+2}^n c_{i,i+1}^n,$$

for each generator b_{ij}.

Proposition 3.3. [10] *The mapping $\phi_n : PB_n \to G_n^3$ is well defined.*

Theorem 3.4. *For a Brunnian braid $\beta \in PB_n$, $\phi_n(\beta)$ is a Brunnian in G_n^3.*

To prove the above theorem, firstly we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *For $i, j \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$ and $i < j$,*

$$q_n(c_{i,j}^n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = n, \\ c_{i,j}^{n-1} & \text{if } j \neq n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $j = n$, then

$$q_n(c_{i,n}^n) = q_n(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{ink}) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} p_n(a_{ink}) = 1.$$

If $i, j \neq n$, then

$$q_n(c_{i,j}^n) = q_n(\prod_{k=j+1}^{n} a_{ijk} \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{ijk}) = \prod_{k=j+1}^{n} p_n(a_{ijk}) \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} p_n(a_{ijk}) = \prod_{k=j+1}^{n-1} a_{ijk} \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{ijk} = c_{i,j}^{n-1}.$$

□

Analogously we can show that

$$q_m(c_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = m \\ c_{ij} & \text{if } i, j < m, \\ c_{i(j-1)} & \text{if } i < m, j > m, \\ c_{(i-1)(j-1)} & \text{if } i, j > m, \end{cases}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.4. It is sufficient to show that $q_m \circ \phi_n = \phi_{n-1} \circ p_m$, because if $p_m(\beta) = 1$, then $q_m \circ \phi_n(\beta) = \phi_{n-1} \circ p_m(\beta) = \phi_{n-1}(1) = 1$. For $m = n$ and $b_{ij} \in PB_n$, if $j = n$, then $\phi_{n-1} \circ p_n(b_{in}) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} q_n \circ \phi_n(b_{in}) &= q_n((c_{i,i+1}^n)^{-1}(c_{i,i+2}^n)^{-1} \cdots (c_{i,n-1}^n)^{-1}(c_{i,n}^n)^2 c_{i,n-1}^n \cdots c_{i,i+2}^n c_{i,i+1}^n) \\ &= (c_{i,i+1}^{n-1})^{-1}(c_{i,i+2}^{n-1})^{-1} \cdots (c_{i,n-1}^{n-1})^{-1} q_n((c_{i,n}^n)^2) c_{i,n-1}^{n-1} \cdots c_{i,i+2}^{n-1} c_{i,i+1}^{n-1} \\ &= (c_{i,i+1}^{n-1})^{-1}(c_{i,i+2}^{n-1})^{-1} \cdots (c_{i,n-1}^{n-1})^{-1} c_{i,n-1}^{n-1} \cdots c_{i,i+2}^{n-1} c_{i,i+1}^{n-1} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

If $i, j \neq n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{n-1} \circ p_n(b_{ij}) &= \phi_{n-1}(b_{ij}) \\ &= (c_{i,i+1}^{n-1})^{-1}(c_{i,i+2}^{n-1})^{-1} \cdots (c_{i,j-1}^{n-1})^{-1}(c_{i,j}^{n-1})^2 c_{i,j-1} \cdots c_{i,i+2}^{n-1} c_{i,i+1}^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q_n \circ \phi_n(b_{ij}) &= q_n((c_{i,i+1}^n)^{-1}(c_{i,i+2}^n)^{-1} \cdots (c_{i,j-1}^n)^{-1}(c_{i,j}^n)^2 c_{i,j-1}^n \cdots c_{i,i+2}^n c_{i,i+1}^n) \\ &= (c_{i,i+1}^{n-1})^{-1}(c_{i,i+2}^{n-1})^{-1} \cdots (c_{i,j-1}^{n-1})^{-1}(c_{i,j}^{n-1})^2 c_{i,j-1}^{n-1} \cdots c_{i,i+2}^{n-1} c_{i,i+1}^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Analgously, it is easy to show that $q_m \circ \phi_n = \phi_{n-1} \circ p_m$. □

4. A new index invariant for G_n^2 and G_n^3

The group G_n^3 is very powerful. In [10], some index invariants valued in $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_2$ were extracted from G_n^3. The aim of this section is to show that the MN invariants constructed previously fail to recognize the non-triviality of Brunnian braids(Lemma 4.5). We can enhance these invariants by using the structure of G_n^k; in fact, we shall make only one step allowing us to recognize the commutator, see example 4.13. But in principle, it is possible to go on enhancing the invariants

coming from G_n^k (even from G_n^3) to get invariants which recognize the non-triviality of commutators of arbitrary lengths: $[[[[[b_{12}, b_{13}], b_{14}], b_{15}, ...]$. More precisely, the group G_n^3 itself recognizes the non-triviality of such braids, and the corresponding invariants can be derived as maps from G_n^3 to free products of Z_2 (Example 4.13). It would be interesting to compare it with lower central series[1],[2],[3].

Firstly, we recall the definition of those homomorphisms(invariants) and construct new ones.

Definition 4.1. *Let $\beta \in G_n^k$. If the number of a_m of β is even for each multiindex m, then it is called* a word in a good condition. *Analogously if the number of b_{ij} of $\beta \in PB_n$ is even for every pair i, j, then β is called* a free braid in a good condition.

Remark 4.2. *Let β and β' in G_n^k such that $\beta = \beta'$ in G_n^k. Since the relation a_m^2 from G_n^k changes the number of a_m in β and other relations do not change the number of a_m, it is easy to show that if β is in good condition, then β' is also in good condition. Let $\beta, \beta' \in PB_n$ such that β and β' are equivalent. Analogously, we can show that if β is in good condition, then β' is also in good condition.*

Remark 4.3. *Let H be a subset of all elements in good condition in PB_n. Then H is a normal subgroup of PB_n of finite index. Analogously we can show that a subset of all elements in good condition of G_n^k is a normal subgroup of G_n^k of finite index.*

We recall the invariant of Manturov and Nikonov, simply MN-invariant, for the case of braids in G_n^3. Let β be a free braid on n strands in a good condition. For each $c = a_{ijk}$ of β and for $l \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \backslash \{i, j, k\}$, define $i_c(l)$ by

$$i_c(l) = (N_{jkl} + N_{ijl}, N_{ikl} + N_{ijl}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

where N_{ikl} is the number of a_{ikl} from the start of β to the crossing c. Note that i_c can be considered as a map from $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \backslash \{i, j, k\}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Fix $i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let $\{c_1, \cdots, c_m\}$ be the set of a_{ijk} such that for each $s, t \in \{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$, $s < t$ if and only if we meet c_s earlier than c_t in β. Define a group presentation F_n^3 generated by $\{\sigma \mid \sigma : \{1, 2, \cdots n\} \backslash \{i, j, k\} \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2\}$ with relations $\{\sigma^2 = 1\}$. Note that i_c is a mapping from $\{1, 2, \cdots n\} \backslash \{i, j, k\} \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and i_c is in F_n^3. In other words, we deal with a free product of $2^{2(n-3)}$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Define a word $w_{(i,j,k)}(\beta)$ in F_n^3 for β by $w_{(i,j,k)}(\beta) = i_{c_1} i_{c_2} \cdots i_{c_m}$.

Proposition 4.4. [10] *For a positive integer n and for $i, j, k \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$ such that $|\{i, j, k\}| = 3$, $w_{(i,j,k)}$ is an invariant for H of G_n^3 in a good condition.*

Lemma 4.5. *For a Brunnian $\beta \in PB_n$, $w_{(i,j,k)}(\phi_n(\beta)) = 1$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $w_{(ijk)}(\phi_n(b_{lm})) = 1$ for $|\{l, m\} \cap \{i, j, k\}| < 2$. For a Brunnian braid $\beta \in PB_n$ and for $l \notin \{i, j, k\}$, let β_l be a braid obtained by omitting b_{lm} from β. Since $w_{(ijk)}(\phi_n(b_{lm})) = 1$ for $|\{l, m\} \cap \{i, j, k\}| < 2$,

$$w_{(ijk)}(\phi_n(\beta)) = w_{(ijk)}(\phi_n(\beta_l)).$$

Since β is Brunnian, β_l is trivial and $w_{(ijk)}(\phi_n(\beta)) = w_{(ijk)}(\phi_n(\beta_l)) = 1$. Now we will show the statement is true. By the definition of ϕ_n,

$$\phi_n(b_{ij}) = (c_{i,i+1}^n)^{-1} (c_{i,i+2}^n)^{-1} \cdots (c_{i,j-1}^n)^{-1} (c_{i,j}^n)^2 c_{i,j-1}^n \cdots c_{i,i+2}^n c_{i,i+1}^n.$$

Notice that for $|\{l, m\} \cap \{i, j, k\}| \neq 2$, $c_{l,m}^n$ contains no a_{ijk}. There are 9 subcases:

(1) $\{l, m\} \cap \{i, j, k\} = \emptyset$,

(2) $l = i, 0 < m < i$,
(3) $l = i, i < m < j$,
(4) $l = i, j < m < k$,
(5) $l = i, k < m < n$,
(6) $l = j, j < m < k$,
(7) $l = j, k < m < n$,
(8) $l = k, k < l < n$.

If $\{l, m\} \cap \{i, j, k\} = \emptyset$, then $\phi_n(b_{ij})$ has no $c^n_{i,j}$, $c^n_{i,k}$ and $c^n_{j,k}$. Hence $w_{(ijk)}(\phi_n(b_{lm})) = 1$. Analogously $w_{(ijk)}(\phi_n(b_{lm})) = 1$ in the case of (2),(3),(6) and (8).
If $l = i, j < m < k$, then

$$\phi_n(b_{im}) = (c^n_{i,i+1})^{-1} \cdots (c^n_{i,j})^{-1} \cdots (c^n_{i,j-1})^{-1} (c^n_{i,m})^2 c^n_{i,j-1} \cdots c^n_{i,j} \cdots c^n_{i,i+1},$$

has just two a_{ijk}, say $c_1 = a_{ijk}$ in $(c^n_{i,j})^{-1}$ and $c_2 = a_{ijk}$ in $c^n_{i,j}$, respectively. Since the number of each a_{stu} between c_1 and c_2 is even for every $s, t, u \in \{1, \cdots n\}$, $i_{c_1} = i_{c_2}$. Therefore $w_{(ijk)}(\phi_n(b_{lm})) = 1$. Analogously $w_{(ijk)}(\phi_n(b_{lm})) = 1$ in the cases of (4) and (7).
If $l = i, k < m < n$,

$$\phi_n(b_{im}) = (c^n_{i,i+1})^{-1} \cdots (c^n_{i,j})^{-1} \cdots (c^n_{i,k})^{-1} \cdots (c^n_{i,m})^2 \cdots c^n_{i,k} \cdots c^n_{i,j} \cdots c^n_{i,i+1},$$

and it has four a_{ijk}, say $c_1 = a_{ijk}$ in $(c^n_{i,j})^{-1}$, $c_2 = a_{ijk}$ in $(c^n_{i,k})^{-1}$, $c_3 = a_{ijk}$ in $c^n_{i,k}$ and $c_4 = a_{ijk}$ in $c^n_{i,j}$, respectively. Since the number of each a_{stu} between c_2 and c_3 is even for every $s, t, u \in \{1, \cdots n\}$, $i_{c_2} = i_{c_3}$. Similarly, $i_{c_1} = i_{c_4}$. Therefore $w_{ijk}(\phi_n(b_{im})) = i_{c_1} i_{c_2} i_{c_3} i_{c_4} = 1$. □

By the above Lemma the MN-invariant for G^3_n does not recognize the non-triviality of Brunnian braids in PB_n. Now we have parity for G^2_n and we can extend MN-invariant for G^2_n by using parity. To make things clearer, let us first consider the case of G^2_n. Let β be a free braid on n strands in a good condition. For each classical crossing c of β of type (i, j) and for $k \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \backslash \{i, j\}$, define $i^i_c(k)$ by the sum of number of all crossings of type (i, k) from the start of i-th strand to the crossing c. Set $i_c(k) = i^i_c(k) + i^j_c(k)$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}_2$, for example, see Fig. 4. Note that i_c can be considered as a map from $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \backslash \{i, j\}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Fix $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $i \neq j$. Let $\{c_1, \cdots, c_m\}$ be the set of classical crossings of type (i, j) such that for each $k, l \in \{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$, $k < l$ if and only if we meet c_k earlier than c_l in β. Let us consider F^2_n the free product of groups $\mathbb{Z}_2$ generated by $\{\sigma \mid \sigma : \{1, 2, \cdots n\} \backslash \{i, j\} \to \mathbb{Z}_2\}$ with relations $\{\sigma^2 = 1\}$. Note that i_c is a mapping from $\{1, 2, \cdots n\} \backslash \{i, j\} \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ and i_c is in F^2_n. In other words, we deal with a free product of $2^{(n-2)}$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Define a word $w_{(i,j)}(\beta)$ in F^2_n for β by $w_{(i,j)}(\beta) = i_{c_1} i_{c_2} \cdots i_{c_m}$.

Proposition 4.6. [10] *For a positive integer n and for $i, j \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$ such that $i \neq j$, $w_{(i,j)}$ is an invariant for oriented enumerated free braids in a good condition.*

Let $\beta \in G^2_{n,p}$. For fixed pair $i, j \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$ and for $k \in \{1, \cdots, n\} \backslash \{i, j\}$, define $i^p_{a^\epsilon_{ij}}(k)$ for each a^ϵ_{ij} in β by

$$i^p_{a^\epsilon_{ij}}(k) = \begin{cases} N^0_{ik} + N^0_{jk} \ mod\ 2 & \text{if } \epsilon = 0, \\ N^0_{ik} + N^1_{jk} \ mod\ 2 & \text{if } \epsilon = 1. \end{cases}$$

where N^ϵ_{ik} is the number of a^ϵ_{ik}, which appears before a^ϵ_{ij}, for example, see Fig. 5.



FIGURE 4. The value of $i_{a_{ij}}(k)$



FIGURE 5. The value of $i^p_{a_{ij}}(k)$

Let $\{c_1, \cdots c_m\}$ be the ordered set of $a_{ij}^{\epsilon_m}$'s such that the order agrees with the order of position of a_{ij}^{ϵ}'s. Define $w_{ij}^p : G_{n,p}^2 \to F_n^2$ by $w_{ij}^p(\beta) = \prod_{s=1}^m i_{c_s}^p$.(The superscript p means 'parity'.)

Lemma 4.7. *w_{ij}^p is well defined.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the image of w_{ij}^p does not change when relations of $G_{n,p}^2$ are applied to β. In the cases of $(a_{ij}^{\epsilon})^2 = 1$ and $a_{ij}^{\epsilon_1} a_{kl}^{\epsilon_2} = a_{kl}^{\epsilon_2} a_{ij}^{\epsilon_1}$, it is easy. For relations $a_{ij}^{\epsilon_{ij}} a_{ik}^{\epsilon_{ik}} a_{jk}^{\epsilon_{jk}} = a_{jk}^{\epsilon_{jk}} a_{ik}^{\epsilon_{ik}} a_{ij}^{\epsilon_{ij}}$, where $\epsilon_{ij} + \epsilon_{ik} + \epsilon_{jk} = 0 \bmod 2$, suppose that the relation is not applied on c_s. Then the number of a_{ik}^{ϵ} and a_{jk}^{ϵ} before c_s remains

and then $w_{ij}^p(\beta)$ does not change. Suppose that c_s is in the applied relation, say $c_s^{\epsilon_1}a_{il}^{\epsilon_2}a_{jl}^{\epsilon_3} = a_{jl}^{\epsilon_3}a_{il}^{\epsilon_2}c_s^{\epsilon_1}$, where $\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3 = 0 \bmod 2$. If $l \neq k$, then the number of a_{ik}^{ϵ} and a_{jk}^{ϵ} before c_s is not changed. Suppose that $l = k$. If $\epsilon_1 = 0$, then $\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 = 0$ or $\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 = 1$. Then the sum of the number of a_{ik}^0 and a_{jk}^0 remains modulo 2. If $\epsilon_1 = 1$, then $\epsilon_2 = 1, \epsilon_3 = 0$ or $\epsilon_2 = 0, \epsilon_3 = 1$. Then $i_k^p(c_s) = N_{ik}^0 + N_{jk}^1$ is not changed modulo 2 and $w_{ij}^p(\beta)$ is not changed. Therefore w_{ij}^p is an invariant. □

Let H_{n+1}^2 be a subgroup of all elements in good condition in G_{n+1}^2. Now we define a mapping ϕ_k from H_{n+1}^2 to $G_{n,p}^2$ for a fixed $k \in \{1, \cdots n+1\}$. Roughly speaking, ϕ_k deletes k-th strands from β, counts how many times k-th strand is linked with i_l-the and j_l-th strands before $a_{i_l j_l}$ in β and takes the sum of them. In detail, ϕ_k is defined as followings. Let $\beta = \prod_{l=1}^m a_{i_l j_l}$. Denote $b_{i_l j_l}$ by

$$b_{i_l j_l} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \in \{i_l, j_l\}, \\ a_{i_l j_l}^{\epsilon_l} & \text{if } k \notin \{i_l, j_l\}. \end{cases}$$

where ϵ_l is the number of $a_{j_l k}$ and $a_{i_l k}$ in β before $a_{i_l j_l}$ modulo 2. Now, define $\psi_k(\beta) = \prod_{l=1}^m b_{i_l j_l}$.

Proposition 4.8. [4] *ψ_k is well defined.*

Definition 4.9. *Let $\beta \in G_{n+1}^2$ be in good condition. Then $w_{ij}^l : G_{n+1}^2 \to F_n^2$ is defined by $w_{ij}^l = w_{ij}^p \circ \psi_l$.*

For example, for $\beta = a_{12}a_{34}a_{13}a_{34}a_{13}a_{12}$, we obtain $\psi_4(\beta) = a_{12}^0 a_{13}^1 a_{13}^0 a_{12}^0$. Then $w_{12}^4(\beta) = w_{12}^p \circ \psi_4(\beta) = 01 \in F_3^2$, see Fig. 6.

Corollary 4.10. *w_{ij}^l is an invariant for $\beta \in G_{n+1}^2$.*

Proof. Since ψ_l are homomorphism, by Lemma 4.7, w_{ij}^l is an invariant for $\beta \in G_{n+1}^2$. □

Example 4.11. *Let $X = a_{12}a_{13}a_{12}a_{13}$ and $Y = a_{23}a_{35}a_{23}a_{35}$ and $\beta = [X, Y]$ in G_5^2. Let us show now that β is not trivial. To this end, we consider the element ω_{12}^5 where the parity is obtained from 5-th strand. For a pair $(1, 2)$, the value of MN-invariant for G_n^2 of β is trivial, because Y is in a good condition and Y contains no a_{12}. But $w_{12}^5(\beta)$ is not trivial. Now we calculate it. Firstly,*

$$\psi_5(\beta) = a_{12}^0 a_{13}^0 a_{12}^0 a_{13}^0 a_{23}^0 a_{23}^1 a_{13}^0 a_{12}^0 a_{13}^0 a_{12}^0 a_{23}^1 a_{23}^0.$$

Let $c_1 = a_{12}^0$, $c_2 = a_{12}^0$, $c_3 = a_{12}^0$, $c_4 = a_{12}^0$ such that the order of c_i agrees with the order of a_{12}^{ϵ} in β. Then $i_{c_1}^p(3) = 0$, $i_{c_2}^p(3) = 1$, $i_{c_3}^p(3) = 0$, $i_{c_4}^p(3) = 1$ and $i_{c_s}(4) = 0$. Then $w_{12}^5(\beta) = \zeta_{(0,0)}\zeta_{(1,0)}\zeta_{(0,0)}\zeta_{(1,0)}$ and it cannot be canceled in F_4^2, where $\zeta_{a,b}$ is defined by $\zeta_{a,b}(3) = a$ and $\zeta_{a,b}(4) = b$.

This invariant can be used for $\beta \in G_{n+1}^3$ by homomorphism $r_m : G_{n+1}^3 \to G_n^2$ defined by

$$r_m(a_{ijk}) = \begin{cases} a_{ij} & \text{if } k = m, i, j < m, \\ a_{i(j-1)} & \text{if } k = m, i < m, j > m, \\ a_{(i-1)(j-1)} & \text{if } k = m, i > m, j > m, \\ 1 & \text{if } i, j, k \neq m. \end{cases}$$

Example 4.12. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \;=\; & a_{124}a_{123}a_{135}a_{134}a_{124}a_{134}a_{135}a_{123}a_{134}a_{135}a_{134}a_{123}a_{135}a_{134}a_{124}a_{134}a_{135}a_{123} \\ & a_{124}a_{134}a_{135}a_{134}, \end{aligned}$$



FIGURE 6. $\beta = a_{12}a_{34}a_{13}a_{34}a_{13}a_{12}$ and $w_{12}^4 = 01 \in F_3^2$

in G_5^3. *Then*

$\beta_1 = r_1(\beta) = a_{24}a_{23}a_{35}a_{34}a_{24}a_{34}a_{35}a_{23}a_{34}a_{35}a_{34}a_{23}a_{35}a_{34}a_{24}a_{34}a_{35}a_{23}a_{24}a_{34}a_{35}a_{34}$.

For index 5,

$$\psi_5(\beta_1) = a_{24}^0a_{23}^0a_{34}^1a_{24}^0a_{34}^1a_{23}^0a_{34}^0a_{34}^1a_{23}^1a_{34}^0a_{24}^0a_{34}^0a_{23}^1a_{24}^0a_{34}^1a_{34}^0.$$

Let $c_1 = a_{24}^0$, $c_2 = a_{24}^0$, $c_3 = a_{24}^0$ *and* $c_4 = a_{24}^0$ *such that the order of* c_i *agrees with the order of* a_{24}^ϵ *in* $\psi_5(\beta_1)$. *Then*

- $i_{c_1}(3) = N_{23}^0 + N_{23}^0 = 0 + 0 = 0, mod\ 2$
- $i_{c_2}(3) = N_{23}^0 + N_{34}^0 = 1 + 0 = 1, mod\ 2$
- $i_{c_3}(3) = N_{23}^0 + N_{34}^0 = 2 + 2 = 0, mod\ 2$
- $i_{c_4}(3) = N_{23}^0 + N_{34}^0 = 2 + 3 = 1. mod\ 2$

Therefore $w_{24}^5(\beta_1) = 0101 \neq 1$ *and hence* β *is not trivial in* G_5^3.

Example 4.13. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &= [[[b_{12}, b_{14}], b_{16}], [b_{13}, b_{15}]] \\ &= b_{12}b_{14}b_{12}^{-1}b_{14}^{-1}b_{16}b_{14}b_{12}b_{14}^{-1}b_{12}^{-1}b_{16}^{-1}b_{13}b_{15}b_{13}^{-1}b_{15}^{-1}b_{16}b_{12}b_{14}b_{12}^{-1}b_{14}^{-1}b_{16}^{-1}b_{14}b_{12}b_{14}^{-1} \\ &\quad b_{12}^{-1}b_{15}b_{13}b_{15}^{-1}b_{13}^{-1} \in G_6^3.\end{aligned}$$

Note that for each $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, $p_k(\beta) = 1$, *that is,* β *is Brunnian in* PB_n. *Then*

$\psi_6(r_1(\phi_6(\beta))) = a_{23}^0a_{24}^0a_{25}^0a_{23}^1a_{35}^1a_{34}^1a_{45}^0a_{24}^0a_{34}^0a_{45}^1a_{24}^1a_{35}^1a_{23}^1a_{25}^0a_{24}^0a_{23}^0$

$a^1_{25}a^1_{24}a^0_{24}a^1_{25}a^0_{23}a^0_{24}a^0_{25}a^1_{23}a^1_{35}a^1_{24}a^1_{45}a^0_{34}a^0_{24}a^0_{45}a^1_{34}a^1_{35}a^1_{23}a^0_{25}a^0_{24}a^0_{23}a^1_{25}$
$a^1_{24}a^1_{35}a^1_{24}a^1_{35}a^0_{25}a^1_{25}a^1_{35}a^1_{24}a^1_{35}a^1_{23}a^0_{34}a^0_{35}a^0_{23}a^1_{34}a^1_{24}a^1_{45}a^0_{25}a^0_{35}a^0_{45}a^1_{25}a^1_{35}$
$a^1_{24}a^1_{34}a^0_{23}a^0_{35}a^0_{24}a^0_{35}a^1_{25}a^0_{45}a^1_{35}a^0_{25}a^1_{45}a^1_{24}a^0_{35}a^1_{24}a^1_{25}a^1_{23}a^0_{24}a^0_{25}a^0_{23}a^0_{35}a^0_{34}$
$a^0_{45}a^0_{24}a^1_{34}a^1_{45}a^1_{24}a^0_{35}a^0_{23}a^0_{25}a^0_{24}a^1_{23}a^1_{25}a^1_{24}a^0_{35}a^1_{24}a^1_{45}a^1_{34}a^0_{24}a^0_{45}a^0_{34}a^1_{24}a^0_{35}$
$a^1_{25}a^1_{25}a^0_{35}a^1_{24}a^0_{34}a^0_{45}a^0_{24}a^1_{34}a^1_{45}a^1_{24}a^0_{35}a^1_{24}a^1_{25}a^1_{23}a^0_{24}a^0_{25}a^0_{23}a^0_{35}a^1_{24}a^1_{45}a^1_{34}$
$a^0_{24}a^0_{45}a^0_{34}a^0_{35}a^0_{23}a^0_{25}a^0_{24}a^1_{23}a^1_{25}a^1_{24}a^0_{35}a^1_{24}a^1_{45}a^0_{25}a^1_{35}a^0_{45}a^1_{25}a^0_{35}a^1_{24}a^0_{35}a^0_{23}$
$a^1_{34}a^1_{35}a^1_{23}a^0_{34}a^1_{24}a^0_{35}a^1_{25}a^0_{45}a^1_{35}a^0_{25}a^1_{45}a^1_{24}a^0_{34}a^1_{23}a^1_{24}a^1_{25}$
and there are 40 a^ϵ_{24}*. We obtain that*

$$w^6_{24} = \zeta_{(0,0)}\zeta_{(0,1)}\zeta_{(1,1)}\zeta_{(0,0)}\zeta_{(0,1)}\zeta_{(1,1)}\zeta_{(0,0)}\zeta_{(0,1)}\zeta_{(0,0)}\zeta_{(0,1)}\zeta_{(1,1)}\zeta_{(0,1)},$$

where $\zeta_{a,b}$ *is defined by* $\zeta_{a,b}(3) = a$ *and* $\zeta_{a,b}(5) = b$ *and* w^p_{24} *is not trivial in* F^2_5*.*

5. A map from G^3_{n+1} to $G^3_{n,p}$

The groups G^k_n, for $k \geq 3$, must have plentiful information, which can be used for braids. Moreover, it might be possible to define invariants for G^k_n valued in the free product of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ with respect to a 'fixed' index. In this section, we define $G^3_{n,p}$, which is called G^3_n *with parity*. We construct homomorphism from $G^3_{n,p}$ to the free product of $\mathbb{Z}_2$, which generates the invariant.

Definition 5.1. *Let* $G^3_{n,p}$ *be a group generated by* $\{a^\epsilon_{ijk} | \{i,j,k\} \subset \{1,\cdots,n\}, |\{i,j,k\}| = 3, \epsilon \in \{0,1\}\}$ *subject to the following relations:*

(1) $(a^\epsilon_{ijk})^2 = 1$,
(2) $(a^{\epsilon_1}_{ijk}a^{\epsilon_2}_{lmn})^2 = 1$, *if* $|\{i,j,k\} \cap \{l,m,n\}| < 2$ *for arbitrarily chosen epsilons,*
(3) $(a^{\epsilon_l}_{ijk}a^{\epsilon_k}_{ijl}a^{\epsilon_j}_{ikl}a^{\epsilon_i}_{jkl})^2 = 1$, *where* $t \geq i,j,k,l$ *and* $\sum_{s\in\{i,j,k,l\}\setminus\{t\}} \epsilon_s = 0$ *mod* 2.

Now we define a mapping f from G^3_{n+1} to $G^3_{n,p}$ as follows :
Let $\beta = \prod_{l=1}^m a_{i_l j_l k_l}$. For each $a_{i_l j_l k_l}$, denote $b_{i_l j_l k_l}$as follows:

$$b_{i_l j_l k_l} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n+1 \in \{i_l, j_l, k_l\}, \\ a^{\epsilon_l}_{i_l j_l k_l} & \text{if } n+1 \notin \{i_l, j_l, k_l\}, \end{cases}$$

where ϵ_l is the sum of numbers of $a_{j_l k_l (n+1)}$ and $a_{i_l k_l (n+1)}$ in β before $a_{i_l j_l k_l}$ modulo 2. This is derived from MN-invariant for G^3_n :

$$N_{jkl} + N_{ijl} + N_{ikl} + N_{ijl} = N_{jkl} + N_{ikl} \text{ mod } 2.$$

Now, define $f(\beta) = \prod_{l=1}^m b_{i_l j_l k_l}$.

Lemma 5.2. *The mapping* $f : G^3_{n+1} \to G^3_{n,p}$ *is well defined.*

Proof. We will show that if β' is obtained from β by applying one of the relations of G^3_{n+1}, then $f(\beta)$ and $f(\beta')$ are equivalent in $G^3_{n,p}$. In the case of relations $a^2_{ijk} = 1$ and $(a_{ijk}a_{lmn})^2 = 1$, it is easy. Now we will show that if β' is obtained by applying the relation $a_{ijk}a_{ijl}a_{ikl}a_{jkl} = a_{jkl}a_{ikl}a_{ijl}a_{ijk}$, then $f(\beta)$ and $f(\beta')$ are equivalent in $G^3_{n,p}$, say $\beta = Fa_{ijk}a_{ijl}a_{ikl}a_{jkl}B$ and $\beta' = Fa_{jkl}a_{ikl}a_{ijl}a_{ijk}B$. Suppose that $l = n+1$. Then $f(\beta) = F'a^\epsilon_{ijk}B'$ and $f(\beta') = F'a^{\epsilon'}_{ijk}B'$. By definition of f, $\epsilon = \epsilon' + \alpha$, where α is the number of a_{ikl} and a_{jkl} in $a_{jkl}a_{ikl}a_{ijl}$ and $\alpha \equiv 0$ mod 2. Suppose that $l \neq n+1$. Then $f(\beta) = F'a^{\epsilon_l}_{ijk}a^{\epsilon_k}_{ijl}a^{\epsilon_j}_{ikl}a^{\epsilon_i}_{jkl}B'$ and $f(\beta') = F'a^{\epsilon'_i}_{jkl}a^{\epsilon'_j}_{ikl}a^{\epsilon'_k}_{ijl}a^{\epsilon'_l}_{ijk}B'$. Assume that $i < j < k < l$. Since $n+1 \notin \{i,j,k,l\}$, the number of $a_{ik(n+1)}$ and $a_{jk(n+1)}$ before a_{ijk} in β is equal to the number of them in β'. That is, $\epsilon_l = \epsilon'_l$.

Analogously, $\epsilon_k = \epsilon'_k$,$\epsilon_j = \epsilon'_j$,$\epsilon_i = \epsilon'_i$. Finally, we show that $\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j + \epsilon_k = 0 \bmod 2$. Denote $N_{ij(n+1)}$ is the number of $a_{ij(n+1)}$ in F. Then

$$\epsilon_k = N_{il(n+1)} + N_{jl(n+1)},$$
$$\epsilon_j = N_{il(n+1)} + N_{kl(n+1)},$$
$$\epsilon_i = N_{jl(n+1)} + N_{kl(n+1)}.$$

Therefore

$$\epsilon_k+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_i = N_{il(n+1)}+N_{jl(n+1)}+N_{il(n+1)}+N_{kl(n+1)}+N_{jl(n+1)}+N_{kl(n+1)} = 0 \, mod \, 2.$$

Analogously we can show that the relation $a_{ijk}a_{ijl}a_{ikl}a_{jkl} = a_{jkl}a_{ikl}a_{ijl}a_{ijk}$ is preserved by f for any i, j, k, l in $\{1, \cdots, n\}$.

□

Then for a word β in $G^3_{n,p}$ and for a_{ijk} in β, we can define $i^p_{a^\epsilon_{ijk}}(l)$ by

$$i^p_{a^\epsilon_{ijk}}(l) = \begin{cases} N^0_{ikl} + N^0_{jkl} + N^1_{ijl} \ mod \ 2 & \text{if } \epsilon = 0, l > k, \\ N^0_{ikl} + N^0_{jkl} \ mod \ 2 & \text{if } \epsilon = 0, l < k, \\ N^0_{ikl} + N^1_{jkl} + N^0_{ijl} \ mod \ 2 & \text{if } \epsilon = 1, l > k, \\ N^0_{ikl} + N^1_{jkl} \ mod \ 2 & \text{if } \epsilon = 1, l < k. \end{cases}$$

where $k > i, j$ and N^ϵ_{ikl} is the number of a^ϵ_{ikl}, which appears before a^ϵ_{ijk}. Define a group presentation F^3_n generated by $\{\sigma \mid \sigma : \{1, 2, \cdots n\}\backslash\{i, j, k\} \to \mathbb{Z}_2\}$ with relations $\{\sigma^2 = 1\}$. Define a word $w^p_{ijk}(\beta)$ in F^3_n for β by $w^p_{ijk}(\beta) = i_{c_1} i_{c_2} \cdots i_{c_m}$.

Lemma 5.3. *w^p_{ijk} is an invariant for $G^3_{n,p}$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that for two β and β' such that β' is obtained from β by applying one relations of $G^3_{n,p}$, $w^p_{ijk}(\beta) = w^p_{ijk}(\beta')$. For relations $(a^\epsilon_{ijk})^2 = 1$ and $(a^{\epsilon_1}_{ijk}a^{\epsilon_2}_{lmn})^2 = 1$, it is clear. Now consider the relation $(a^{\epsilon_l}_{ijk}a^{\epsilon_k}_{ijl}a^{\epsilon_j}_{ikl}a^{\epsilon_i}_{jkl})^2 = 1$, where $t \geq i, j, k, l$ and $\sum_{s\in\{i,j,k,l\}\backslash\{t\}} \epsilon_s = 0 \bmod 2$. If $(a^{\epsilon_v}_{stu}a^{\epsilon_u}_{stv}a^{\epsilon_t}_{suv}a^{\epsilon_s}_{tuv})^2 = 1$, where $s < t < u < v$ and $\epsilon_s + \epsilon_t + \epsilon_u = 0 \bmod 2$ is applied and $|\{s, t, u, v\} \cap \{i, j, k\}| < 3$, then $i_{a_{ijk}}$ is preserved for every a_{ijk} in β and hence $w^p_{ijk}(\beta)$ is preserved. Suppose $|\{s, t, u, v\} \cap \{i, j, k\}| = 3$. Then there are two a^ϵ_{ijk} in $(a^{\epsilon_v}_{stu}a^{\epsilon_u}_{stv}a^{\epsilon_t}_{suv}a^{\epsilon_s}_{tuv})^2$, say they are c_1 and c_2 in order(left to right).

If $s = i, t = j, u = k$, then

$$a^{\epsilon_v}_{ijk}a^{\epsilon_k}_{ijv}a^{\epsilon_j}_{ikv}a^{\epsilon_i}_{jkv}a^{\epsilon_v}_{ijk}a^{\epsilon_k}_{ijv}a^{\epsilon_j}_{ikv}a^{\epsilon_i}_{jkv} = 1$$

is applied. Note that $i_{c_1}(r) = i_{c_2}(r)$ for $r \in \{1, \cdots n\}\backslash\{i, j, k, v\}$, because there are no a^ϵ_{ijr},a^ϵ_{ikr} and a^ϵ_{jkr} between c_1 and c_c. Denote that α^ϵ_{xyz} by the number of a^ϵ_{xyz} between c_1 and c_2. Then there are two cases : $\epsilon_v = 0$ and $\epsilon_v = 1$. For the case $\epsilon_v = 0$, there are 4 subcases:

(1) $(\epsilon_k, \epsilon_j, \epsilon_v) = (0, 0, 0)$,
(2) $(\epsilon_k, \epsilon_j, \epsilon_v) = (0, 1, 1)$,
(3) $(\epsilon_k, \epsilon_j, \epsilon_v) = (1, 0, 1)$,
(4) $(\epsilon_k, \epsilon_j, \epsilon_v) = (1, 1, 0)$.

Suppose that $(\epsilon_k, \epsilon_j, \epsilon_l) = (0, 0, 0)$. Since there are one a^0_{ikv}, one a^0_{jkv} and no a^1_{ijv} and $v > k$,

$$i_{c_2}(v) = i_{c_1}(v) + \alpha^0_{ikv} + \alpha^0_{jkv} + \alpha^1_{ijv} = i_{c_1}(v) + 1 + 1 + 0 = i_{c_1}(v) \ mod 2.$$

Suppose that $(\epsilon_k, \epsilon_j, \epsilon_v) = (0, 1, 1)$. Since there are no a^0_{ikv}, a^0_{jkv} and a^1_{ijv},

$$i_{c_2}(v) = i_{c_1}(v) + \alpha^0_{ikv} + \alpha^0_{jkv} + \alpha^1_{ijv} = i_{c_1}(v) + 0 + 0 + 0 = i_{c_1}(v) \ mod 2.$$

Suppose that $(\epsilon_k, \epsilon_j, \epsilon_v) = (1, 0, 1)$. Since there are no a^0_{ikv} and one a^0_{jkv} and one a^1_{ijv} between c_1 and c_2 and $v > k$,

$$i_{c_2}(v) = i_{c_1}(v) + \alpha^0_{ikv} + \alpha^0_{jkv} + \alpha^1_{ijv} = i_{c_1}(v) + 0 + 1 + 1 = i_{c_1}(v) \ mod 2.$$

Analogously, we can show that $i_{c_1} = i_{c_2}$ in other cases. Since $i_{c_1} i_{c_2} = 1$, w^p_{ijk} is preserved with respect to relations in F^3_n.

If $s = i, t = j, v = k$, then

$$a^{\epsilon_k}_{iju} a^{\epsilon_u}_{ijk} a^{\epsilon_j}_{iuk} a^{\epsilon_i}_{juk} a^{\epsilon_k}_{iju} a^{\epsilon_u}_{ijk} a^{\epsilon_j}_{iuk} a^{\epsilon_i}_{juk} = 1$$

is applied. Note that $i_{c_1}(r) = i_{c_2}(r)$ for $r \in \{1, \cdots n\} \backslash \{i, j, k, u\}$, because there are no a^{ϵ}_{ijr},a^{ϵ}_{ikr} and a^{ϵ}_{jkr} between c_1 and c_c. Since $u < k$, by definition of i_c, $a^{\epsilon_k}_{iju}$ does not affect to $i_{c_1}(u)$ and $i_{c_2}(u)$. Since $\epsilon_u + \epsilon_j + \epsilon_i = 0 \bmod 2$, there are four cases:

(1) $(\epsilon_u, \epsilon_j, \epsilon_i) = (0, 0, 0)$,
(2) $(\epsilon_u, \epsilon_j, \epsilon_i) = (0, 1, 1)$,
(3) $(\epsilon_u, \epsilon_j, \epsilon_i) = (1, 0, 1)$,
(4) $(\epsilon_u, \epsilon_j, \epsilon_i) = (1, 1, 0)$.

If $(\epsilon_u, \epsilon_j, \epsilon_i) = (0, 0, 0)$, then

$$i_{c_2}(v) = i_{c_1}(v) + \alpha^0_{iuk} + \alpha^0_{juk} = i_{c_1}(v) + 1 + 1 = i_{c_1}(v) \ mod 2.$$

If $(\epsilon_u, \epsilon_j, \epsilon_i) = (1, 0, 1)$, then

$$i_{c_2}(v) = i_{c_1}(v) + \alpha^0_{iuk} + \alpha^1_{juk} = i_{c_1}(v) + 0 + 1 = i_{c_1}(v) \ mod 2.$$

Analogously, $i_{c_1} = i_{c_2}$ in other cases and the proof is completed. It is easy to show that $w^p_{ijk}(\beta)$ does not change by applying $(a^{\epsilon_v}_{stu} a^{\epsilon_u}_{stv} a^{\epsilon_t}_{suv} a^{\epsilon_s}_{tuv})^2 = 1$, where z is the largest index in $\{s, t, u, v\}$ and $\sum_{x \in \{s,t,u,v\} \backslash \{z\}} \epsilon_x$ for any $\{s, t, u, v\} \subset \{1, \cdots, n\}$ and the proof is completed. □

Example 5.4. *Let*

$$\beta = a_{124}a_{245}a_{124}a_{245}a_{234}a_{245}a_{234}a_{245}a_{245}a_{124}a_{245}a_{124}a_{245}a_{234}a_{245}a_{234}$$

in G^3_5. *Then*

$$f(\beta) = a^0_{124}a^1_{124}a^0_{234}a^1_{234}a^1_{124}a^0_{124}a^1_{234}a^0_{234},$$

and $f(\beta)$ *is in* $G^3_{4,p}$. *Let* $c_1 = a^0_{124}$, $c_2 = a^1_{124}$, $c_3 = a^1_{124}$ *and* $c_4 = a^0_{124}$ *such that the order of* c_i *agrees with the order of* a^{ϵ}_{124} *in* $f(\beta)$. *For a type* (124) *and for an index* 3,

- $i_{c_1}(3) = N^0_{234} + N^0_{134} = 0 + 0 = 0$,
- $i_{c_2}(3) = N^1_{234} + N^0_{134} = 0 + 0 = 0$,
- $i_{c_3}(3) = N^1_{234} + N^0_{134} = 1 + 0 = 1$,
- $i_{c_4}(3) = N^0_{234} + N^0_{134} = 1 + 1 = 0$.

Hence the word, which is derived from the above calculation, is not trivial.

Remark 5.5. *We can obtain homomorphisms from* G^3_{n+1} *to* $G^3_{n,p}$ *by omitting not only* $n + 1$ *index, but also one of the other indices. That is, as* i^p_{ij} *in section 2, we obtain invariants by adding information from a fixed index.*

References

[1] V.G.Bardakov, V. V. Vershinin, J. Wu, *On Cohen braids*, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics October 2014, Volume 286, Issue 1, pp 16-32

[2] V.G.Bardakov, R. Mikhailov, V. V. Vershinin, J. Wu, *On the Pure Virtual Braid Group PV3*, Communications in Algebra(2016), Vol. 44, Issue 3, 22 Feb 2016.

[3] V.G.Bardakov, R. Mikhailov, V. V. Vershinin, J. Wu, *Brunnian braids on surfaces*, Algebraic and Geometric.

[4] S.Kim, *The group G_n^2 with a parity and with points*, arXiv:submit/1548032 [math.GT] 29 Apr 2016.

[5] J.Y. Li, V. V. Vershinin, J. Wu *Brunnian Braids and Lie Algebras*, Journal of Algebra 2015, DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2015.05.013.

[6] D.A.Fedoseev, V.O.Manturov, *On marked braid groups,* Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramification, 2015, vol. 24, no. 13, 1541005, 12 pages.

[7] V.O.Manturov, *Non-Reidemeister knot theory and its applications in dynamical systems, geometry, and topology,* arXiv:1501.05208v1 [math.GT] 21 Jan 2015.

[8] V.O.Manturov, *Free knots and parity,* Sbornik : Mathematics, 2010, vol. 201, no. 5, p. 65-110.

[9] V.O.Manturov, *On groups G_n^2 and Coxeter goups,* arXiv:1512.09273v1 [math.GT] 31 Dec 2015.

[10] V.O.Manturov, I.M.Nikonov, *On braids and groups G_n^k,* arXiv:1507.03745v1 [math.GT] 14 Jul 2015.

Department of Fundamental Sciences, Bauman Moscow State Technical University, Moscow, Russia, ksj19891120@gmail.com

Chelyabinsk State University and Bauman Moscow State Technical University, Moscow, Russia, vomanturov@yandex.ru